UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/647,619   | 08/25/2003         | Dennis A. Boismier   | 1001.1689101           | 2861             |
| 28075 7590 01/23/2007<br>CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC<br>1221 NICOLLET AVENUE |                    |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
|  |                    |                      | WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P |                  |
| SUITE 800<br>MINNEAPOLIS   | MN 55403-2420      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER           |                  |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420   |                    |                      | 1742                   |                  |
| _  |                    |                      |                        |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY  | PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE          |                  |
| 3 MONTHS   |                    | 01/23/2007           | PAPER                  |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/647,619   | BOISMIER ET AL.  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |
| ,   |   | George P. Wyszomierski   | 1742   |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |  | orrespondence address  |  |  |
| Period fo   | • •   |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/13   | 3/06 (RCE).  |  |  |  |
| ·   | •   | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | i3 O.G. 213.   |  |  |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 23-36 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 23-36 is/are rejected.</li> </ul> |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) are subjected to:  | r election requirement   |  |  |  |
|   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | orosas roquiromana   |  |  |  |
|   | on Papers   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acces Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner   | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        |  |  |
| Priority ι  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |
| a)[   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of  | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |  |  |  |
| 3) 🛛 Inform   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-13-06</u> .  | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/647,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed November 13, 2006 has been processed. The Amendment, remarks, and Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on that date have been considered.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 23, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heath (U.S. Patent 5,725,570).

Column 8 of Heath discloses a stent including wire loop portions made of a Ni-Ti alloy that initially has linear elastic properties but includes a flexible portion which has been heated to obtain superelastic properties. Thus, all aspects of the claimed invention are held to be fully disclosed by Heath.

4. Claims 23, 27, 28, 30, 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muni et al. (U.S. Patent 6,375,629).

Column 7 of Muni discloses a core wire made of a Ni-Ti alloy that initially has linear elastic properties but includes a flexible portion which has been heated to obtain superelastic properties. Thus, all aspects of the claimed invention are held to be fully disclosed by Muni et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,619 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

5.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 25, 29, 31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Heath or Muni et al.

The Heath and Muni patents, discussed supra, do not specifically refer to the filter legs

of claims 25 and 34, do not specify "only one" superelastic region as recited in claim 29, or the

specific temperature range of instant claim 31. However,

a) With regard to filter legs, it is unclear precisely what structure would be required to

conform to the claim language, i.e. what part of a filter would be considered a filter leg. Further,

at least Muni column 3, line 19 indicates that the prior art materials are suitable for use in filters,

and the interwoven material depicted in the drawings of Heath would appear to be suitable as a

filter or filter leg material.

b) The formation of any desired number of superelastic regions in the prior art materials

would have been well within the level of one of skill in the art, by applying heat to the desired

region(s).

c) The temperature ranges as claimed overlap those disclosed in Heath column 8 or

Muni column 7.

Thus, a prima facie case of obviousness is established between the disclosures of Heath

or Muni et al. and the presently claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,619 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

7. Applicant's detailed discussion of the difference between linear elastic and superelastic material in the remarks filed November 13, 2006 has been considered. In view of that discussion, the previous rejections based on various prior art documents have been withdrawn in favor of rejections based on prior art that is clearly more relevant to the invention as presently claimed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the <u>central facsimile number</u>, (571)-273-8300. This Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Wyszwiier Primary Examiner Group 1700

GPW January 16, 2007